



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/056,494	01/28/2002	William P. Cramer	20011CR	2287	
7:	590 03/24/2004		EXAM	INER	
Herman H. Bains Attorney			LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON		
6101 Tracy Ave	enue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, N			3673		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/24/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			T
:	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/056,494	CRAMER, WILLIAM P.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frederick L. Lagman	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 D</u>	<u>ecember 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-6 is/are pending in the appl	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio			
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∍d.	
AM-shore with			
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/056,494

Art Unit: 3673

م اسمال

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Colonial Pipeline Company website pages including sections entitled "Terminalling Services," "About Us," and "System Map." Colonial Pipeline Company discloses a petroleum distribution system that includes supply lines below the ground surface, such supply lines include pumping stations i.e. terminals. The system map shows the distribution system passing through several states and it would be inherent that should the pipeline system need to pass or parallel an interstate highway, that proper "permission" is acquired. The claims also do not specify a specific length of pipeline. Therefore, with the length of underground pipe and all of the interstates traversing the U.S., the pipeline would definitely extend below and immediately adjacent the highways for at least some undetermined finite length. In the alternative, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art to place a pipeline under the median of a highway, since doing would facilitate laying out of the pipeline from a "point a" to a "point b", for example. As to the product being electricity, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

Application/Control Number: 10/056,494 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

provide an electricity as an energy product, since the use of electricity is well known and lines for electricity are known to be provided underground for fiber optics and telecommunications for example.

- 3. Claims 1, 2, and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Federal Highway Administration Program Guide entitled, "Utility Relocations, Adjustments, and Accommodation on Federal-Aid Highway Projects," hereinto referred to as FHWA. FHWA discloses the provision of utility pipelines along highways right-ofways, see in particular the last page of the document.
- 4. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "Building the Future-Proof Telco" by David Diamond. Diamond discloses the placement of fiber optic cable along interstate highways.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/08/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that the pipelines are not buried underground; however, there are no direct showing that the pipelines are not underground in any of examples 1-4. Furthermore, the placement (location) of the pipeline would be an obvious matter of design choice.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick L. Lagman whose telephone number is 703-305-7456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am to 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/056,494

Art Unit: 3673

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Schackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frederick L. Lagman Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 3673

FLL